

## Precast Concrete Tunnel Segments: A Review on Current Research

Open  
Access

S. N. Jusoh<sup>1,\*</sup>, H. Mohamad<sup>2</sup>, A. Marto<sup>1</sup>, N. Z. Mohd Yunus<sup>1</sup>, F. Kasime<sup>1</sup>, E. Namazi<sup>3</sup>, H. Sohaei<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geotechnics and Transportation, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Research Fellow, Construction Research Centre, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup> Senior Geotechnical Engineer, Golder Associates (Singapore) Pte Ltd, Singapore

### ABSTRACT

Tunnel lining design is an interactive problem, which is not merely about the strength, but how much the tunnel allows to flexure to overcome the ground movement. When tunnel interacts with soil, stress from the ground is distributed into the structure. In the case of precast segmental bolted tunnel lining, it is critical to investigate the lining joints reaction, as this affects the overall flexural behaviour of tunnel lining. Understanding the segmental behaviour is important to optimize the design of lining, lead to cost effective production and maintain the good services during its design life. The objective of this paper is to present a short review on research works conducted in the past pertaining on joint effect in longitudinal seam in tunnel lining. Review on numerical simulations and laboratory testing were carried out in order to understand the basis of tunnel lining mechanical behaviour response. A series of flexural bending laboratory testing conducted by the authors were also presented to discuss on the mechanics of segmental tunnel lining along the longitudinal joints. In conclusion, results indicate that the measured curvatures and deflections are nonlinearly changed with the increased of applied loads. Difference support systems show appropriate joints could help reduce the maximum moment but an excessive allowable joint movement could lead to high flexural moment which could endanger the global structure stability.

#### Keywords:

Segmental lining; longitudinal seam;  
finite element; flexural test

Copyright © 2019 PENERBIT AKADEMIA BARU - All rights reserved

## 1. Introduction

Design of tunnel lining is not straightforward. It is not independent structural problem, but a ground-structure interaction problem, with the emphasis on the ground. Therefore, lining design process should be approaches as iterative process in order to gain an appreciation on how the ground and lining are likely to interact.

Linings are assembled in segmental part connected with bolt, which give effect to the overall structural behaviour. It resists an axial thrust based on the overburden and groundwater pressure at springline, plus bending stresses resulting from an arbitrary percentage distortion of the diameter of the ring. The design code of the Japanese Society of Civil Engineering empirically recommends in its popular simplified design method suggest a lining should be designed to carry only 60–80% of the

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [snorafida@utm.my](mailto:snorafida@utm.my)

maximum bending moment carrying by the main segment [1]. The bending strength and stiffness of structural linings are small compared with those of the surrounding ground [2].

Large deformation can often be accommodated in the tunnel lining by rotation or shear at the joints between segments inducing high stresses in the linings themselves. When taking in accumulative for both longitudinal and circumferential joint, shield segment damage that occur around segment joint more than once within two to three rings is almost 30% from total occurrences [3]. This percentage is similar to the leading shield damage factor; cracking in axial direction. Cracks reported mainly occur near bolt holes and hand holes which affect the overall joint performance [4]. This brings a notion that understanding behaviour of segmental joint tunnel and carefully design it is important. Therefore, focusing on bending moment of lining as to gain benefit from designing the lining is a must, in order to obtain more cost effective way and safety of the design.

Considerable research on movement and stresses for a single and multiple tunnels has been undertaken [2,5-11]. However, lack of investigation exists for extreme details conditions of structural response (i.e., flexural bending moment in tunnel lining) and the behaviour of the joints condition; both in longitudinal and circumferential joints. Research has been carried out via numerical analysis, laboratory, and full-scale test that included the joint tunnel response but not in specific [2,12-16].

Intensive review on previous flexural test both in numerical simulation and laboratory testing on tunnel are presented in this paper. The aim of this paper is to show future researchers on direction of future research field available regarding the investigation of performance in joint connections in tunnel lining. Current research works performed by the authors regarding segmented tunnel lining loading tests are also presented. A series of laboratory testing of point load test have been developed to imitate flexural behaviour of segmental tunnel lining condition in real. In addition, numerical simulation of single and jointed segmental tunnel lining of three-dimensional model have been developed and briefly presented here.

## 2. Jointed Tunnel Lining Model Development in Finite Element

Review of tunnel lining model developments in finite element are presented herein. Several model tests and analyses had been carried out to examine the behaviour of lining joints. Review was also carried out to grasp the idea of interaction modelling of longitudinal joint tunnel simulation. Table 1 show adopted segment modelling technique by earlier researcher.

Blom *et al.*, [2] presented the behaviour of segment connections of southern high-speed line of "Green Heart" shield driven tunnel have been investigated via ANSYS finite element software. Simulation mentioned that the lining stresses measured in the field construction are not uniformly distributed in radial, axial and tangential directions. In reality, axial normal forces found tend to have eccentricity and sectional forces and moment are measured twice higher compared with conventional models. Contact element at the lining interface was used to simulate the behaviour of connections between segments. Contact element located at the circumferential seam, four contact elements each for one segment; behaved as linear spring until sliding occurs. The stiffness of spring took similarly to the stiffness of packer. Results showed tangential stresses along the lining did not changed much because of the hardening of grout, but distribution in a ring lining did change. However, the range of how much stress distribution changed in lining is not mention in exact amount/percentage.

**Table 1**  
Adopted modelling simulation by previous researcher

Researcher & Year	Blom et al. [3]	Teachavorasinskun & Chub-Uppakarn [14]	Cavalaro et al. [13]	Wang et al. [16]	Arnou and Molins [15]
FE Program		SAP2000	DIANA 9.3	ABAQUS 6.7	DIANA 2005
Tunnel outer diameter (m)	14.5	4 - 8	11	7.1	11.6
Width of lining (m)	NA	1.5	2	1.225	1.8
Thickness of lining (m)	0.6	0.3	NA	0.445	0.35
Segment model	Solid volume elements	Shell element	8 node brick element	3D nonlinear brick integration element (C3D8R)	Shell element
Joint interaction model	Contact elements: linear spring	Rotational spring with angular joint stiffness	Interface element	Nut of the bolt were embedded in the segments & contact surface	ments

Simplified FEM analyses using shell element for lining segment and spring to model the joint connections have been carried out by Teachavorasinskun and Chub-uppakarn [14]. Results compared with a true scale model test. Based on the model test, accepted practical angular joint stiffness is in the range of 1000-3000 kNm/rad. From their numerical work, it was found that the jointed lining produced smaller magnitude of maximum bending moment than the non-jointed one. A parameter called moment reduction factor expressed by a function of angular joint stiffness and number of segment was introduced.

Effect of the influence of packing material configurations, their thickness and stiffness and width and thickness of concrete segments to the critical contact deficiencies in tunnel using DIANA 9.3 also investigated by Cavalaro *et al.*, [13]. Contact elements also used to model the joint connections. The initial work was verified with analytical developed equations. Results concluded that the packing stiffness, the width and the thickness of segment do influence the critical contact deficiency.

Arnou and Molins [15] carried out numerical modelling simulated an in situ testing of slender tunnel of new Line 9 (L9) of the metro of Barcelona have been developed to investigate the performance of rings placement. Three hydraulic flat jacks embedded at the extrados of the loaded ring. Longitudinal joint was simulated as shell interface elements. From the simulation, nonlinear tensile stresses behaviour of joint was depicted at the extrados side of segment joint and concentration of compression stresses occurred in intrados side. Concentrated rotation occurs in longitudinal joints. This resembled behaviour of joint in full-scale test [17].

From these review, in short, previous researchers concluded that longitudinal joint is crucial to investigate but the analysis is complex to fulfil [3,13]. A joint stiffness was introduced in previous studies. Angular joint stiffness reported in range of 1000-3000 kNm/rad. However, previous numerical modelling was accomplished with a simple manner of joint element modelling. Only "fixed-fixed" conditions were considered. Whereas, segment's connection in partially fix or hinge were still not fully understood. In conclusion, abundant useful information was obtained from previous researcher; unfortunately the mechanics of segmental joint stiffness was not explored in great detail and not verified certainly. Therefore, a study of lining joint in longitudinal is crucial.

### 3. Segmental Tunnel Lining Model Development in Laboratory Testing

Short review of tunnel lining laboratory and full-scale testing are carried out to understand the behaviour and respond of segment lining especially the joint interactions when applied with load.

A development of a prestressed and precast concrete segmental lining (P&PCSL) for shield tunnel were presented by Nishikawa [18]. In order to conform its basic performance and buildability, a bending testing was performed on the P&PCSL. The load applied, horizontal and vertical displacements, tensile force, surface strain of concrete, and joint gaps were measured. However, as the author are discussing capability of new type of lining; i.e., prestressed and precast concrete segmental lining (P&PCSL), still there are uncertainty in behavior of jointed precast concrete tunnel lining.

A full-scale test was carried out using dual segment attached with curved bolts by Teachavorasinskun and Chub-uppakarn [14]. The ring of lining is four meter for outer diameter. Each segment has four numbers of socket for curved bolts at longitudinal joint (i.e., two for each side) and four numbers of similar socket for circumferential joint (four of each side). Samples were taken from a water supply network tunnel in Bangkok with M22 curved bolts of grade 6.8 ( $f_y=480$  Mpa). A load-displacement curve with angular joint stiffness,  $k_w$ , and results of variation of maximum bending moment with number and orientation of joints were plotted. They validated their laboratory result with FEM and learned that an angular joint stiffness for joints to be incorporated in the reduced flexural moment calculations. The authors presented segment flexural behaviour but with fixed-fixed support system which lack in representing real joint behaviour in tunnel lining.

An experimental research on the possibility of using fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) precast tunnel segments instead of traditional reinforced concrete (RC) via full-scale test in bending test and point load test were carried out [19]. Behaviour of the segments under flexural actions, point load – simulate the thrust force induced by TBM, and effect of load concentration and splitting phenomena were carried out. Results show fibre reinforced concrete can substitute the traditional reinforcement and improved in terms of controlling cracking opening. Flexural test presented by Caratelli *et al.*, [19] indicated FRC has a higher bearing capacity (yield force of 140 kN, with lower crack opening). Point load test gave assurance that both types of tunnel lining able to carry the design load for maximum bearing capacity system; a 4000 kN load. Although reviewing this paper help out on discussion of model support development, but lack discussion on jointed segment matters. The discussion focused on splitting phenomena in a single segment testing only.

From this short overview, we could conclude that the influence of segmental joint stiffness was not explored in detail. Whereas, in laboratory testing, flexural bending test were carried out, but not with the precast RC segments and in the same time, jointed connections especially in longitudinal joint were not counted. Teachavorasinskun and Chubuppakarn [14] presented study of segment's flexural behavior but only with fixed-fixed support system. While, Caratelli *et al.*, [13] have limit the discussion on splitting phenomena instead of bending moment tunnel response. Therefore, in the laboratory testing perspective, there is still lacking information of longitudinal and circumferential joint effect on segmental tunnel lining, thus, the investigation of such testing is highly desirable.

Ideas of two different support mechanisms have been developed in order to imitate rigid and hinge jointed segment tunnel. A flexural testing using hogging segment condition and segments with curved bolt joint were developed. The details of laboratory testing discussed later in 4.2.

## 4. Research Work

This research involves two major parts of work; one is laboratory testing and another is simulation using Finite Element Method (FEM).

The research flow in Numerical Modelling involved simulating one single segment in three-dimension (3D) and followed by two jointed 3D segments. The results shall be used to compare data obtained from the laboratory experiments.

In particular, testing was carried out to analyse the complex lining joint behaviour in longitudinal direction and to understand the structure response with more certainty. Support mechanisms were designated at first place to resemble the real joint behaviour in lining. Two support mechanisms are introduced namely; Pin-Pin support (Phase 1 and 3) and followed by Pin-Roller support (Phase 2 and 4). For laboratory testing, this paper discussed the single intact segment testing results of Phase 1 and Phase 2 only.


After laboratory testing, numerical simulation will be continued to calibrate the laboratory testing and extend the simulation with extended parametric studies. However, this scope of research will only be reported in the future publication.

### 4.1 Initial Model Development of Jointed Segment Tunnel Interactions in ABAQUS


In the first place, numerical modelling of continuum model via ABAQUS 6.10 has been carried out. This initial numerical simulation helps to get an idea of range of loading and support mechanism to be developed in the laboratory testing.

Figure 1 shows the model of a single and dual jointed segment with 48850 numbers of elements. A pair of pedestals was introduced at two ends to simulate the complicated boundary condition of longitudinal seam. In the case of dual segments jointed by curved bolts, the curved bolt modelling is assigned with tie constraint interaction.

From this modelling, load-displacement curve of jointed lining tunnel were plotted. Results presented in Figure 2 shows difference in magnitude between the single segment and dual jointed segment for deflection of segment measured at the centreline. It is concluded that the existent of jointed region shall lead to higher movement. Laboratory testing was then proceeded with the idea of appropriate load range to be applied and support mechanisms to be developed.



**Fig. 1.** Segment lining in mesh (a) Single segment (b) Dual jointed segment with pairs of pedestal



**Fig. 2.** Load - deflection curves of one segment and dual jointed segment

#### 4.2 Laboratory Testing Work

Flexural bending test using appropriate hogging segments taken from nearby factory has been carried out. Reinforced concrete lining specimen with  $67.5^\circ$  of hogging angle, almost 3.5 m span, 1.4 m width, 3.175 m outside radius and 0.275 m thickness were prepared.

As concrete is not perfectly half of rounded shape, a support system were developed to make sure the edge of segment lies comfortably in the testing area. The support system was fabricated using combination of simply supported steel beam to form triangular shape (Figure 3). In the first experiment (Phase 1), one of the supports, i.e. 1.4 meters long of three steel rollers (i.e., roller support on the right) was designed in such way that it could slide horizontally while the other end (on the left) is bolted to the floor to function as a pin support. The roller steel was applied with grease roller to function as a roller support. At the left support is a steel box with 2 m anchored steel bolted to the floor. The triangle steel beam also supported laterally with H-beams to minimize the triangular beam translation during the testing. To attach segment to the triangle steel beam, specially designed wall plug of 220 mm length and 50 mm thread with diameter of 25 mm were used to help fixed the segment in position and to the hole of triangle steel beam support system.


Testing was carried out using a Dartec hydraulic ram with a load-controlled system. A two-point vertical load (using a frame extension redistribute as strip loading), imitating the localised ground static load was applied to the middle of the segment. A 200 tonne of load cell are attached with computerized system used to verify the applied load from hydraulic ram of system. The strain gauges were properly mounted onto test specimen both extrados and intrados of segment. At the same time, LVDTs were mounted at locations with higher anticipated movement. Translation readings at the support system were also being monitored.

Tests were performed initially within the elastic region. In reality, a full ring of tunnel would consist of 5 to 8 segments jointed together. The joints allow tunnel either to flex inward or outward, thus allowing tunnel to stay in a good service. In the first stage, the first tunnel segment was laid as Pin-Roller and applied with load system (i.e., later known as non-jointed pin-roller test, NJPR) shown in Figure 3. In pin-roller testing, a triangle steel support of one side was allowed to move to imitate hinge joint interaction. Three different load series, beginning within "elastic" loading (i.e., Test 1),




continued with double the amount of initial loading stage (Test 2) and finally loading to failure (Test 3).

In Phase 2, a non-jointed pin-pin test (NJPP) was performed (Figure 4). For NJPP test, a triangle steel support of one side (which previously allowed to move) was then fixed with bolted floor anchor and H-beam. This was carried out to imitate almost rigid ground condition surrounding the tunnel. Similarly, incremental loading has been applied up to 130 kN (Test 1) (i.e., "elastic" loading) and 300 kN (Test 2) and the performance of segment was investigated. Strains at intrados and extrados of segment surface were measured. Both of the results are analysed in next section.




### 5. Results and Discussion

In general, the loading caused compression strain at the extrados of segmented lining and tensile strain at the intrados. Load versus segment's deflection for both pin-roller and pin-pin support condition are plotted in Figure 5. As expected, deflection measured at the mid-span of segments showed pin-roller segment lead to more deflection compared to pin-pin support condition.




**Fig. 5.** Load vs deflection at mid span of segment

Using the data inferred from the strain gauges, the flexural moment versus segment's span of selected load range were plotted in Figure 6. An inward moment is represented by negative flexural moment. Symmetrical curve of outward bending moment is depicted for pin-pin support system which generally true for rigid tunnel condition. Whilst, for pin-roller support system, higher moment embraced at the mid span segment followed with imbalance distribution of flexural moment occurred; lower moment occurred at pin side and higher moment measured at quarter roller support side followed with sudden drop of moment magnitude. In pin-roller, which purposely carried out to imitate jointed hinge longitudinal condition, the moment of structure shows higher in magnitude when came to middle position and decreased dramatically at edge of roller side as segment try to response to interactions occurs. In conclusion, pin-roller support mechanisms (i.e., hinge jointed segment's connection) gave excessive response for tunnel to flex thus leading to higher mid span deflection compare to pin-pin support.



**Fig. 6.** Bending moment for non-jointed pin-roller test (NJPR) and non-jointed pin-pin test (NJPP) of single segment




**Fig. 7.** Bending moment for pin-pin support condition (NJPP) with numerical modelling result (FEM-NJPP) of single segment

Figure 7 and Figure 8 comparison results of bending moment diagram calculated using FEM and laboratory data of non-jointed single segment with pin-pin support (NJPP) and non-jointed single segment with pin-roller support (NJPR) for load of 100 kN, respectively. FEM showed continuous plotted moment diagram for segment in whole segment's span which gave more accurate moment reaction when compared to laboratory results (i.e., only few points measured). Pin-pin segment's



reaction shows mirror pattern to the middle of segment. In contrary, pin-roller segment's reaction shows different flexural movement in segment at roller side. Moment initially lower (i.e. bending inward) then increase gradually to the midst of segment and reach its peak followed by decreasing moment magnitude and reach zero towards the roller support. It is also founded that triangle support model of segments in laboratory did gave affect to the overall results. Therefore, appropriate model of support and material properties modelled have been carefully adopted in FEM to represent the real laboratory settings condition.



**Fig. 8.** Bending moment for pin-roller support condition (NJPR) with numerical modelling result (FEM-NJPR) of single segment

## 6. Conclusion

A review on the previous experimental and numerical studies on segmental concrete tunnel lining was presented. Initial 3D simulation modelling by the authors was presented in this paper. A series of laboratory testing of segmented tunnel lining had been carried out to conduct a flexural test. The load was applied on an arch configuration of tunnel lining to record the movements of the segment in elastic-plastic range and to understand the flexural moment deflection response in tunnel segments. In conclusion, results show compression strain measured at the extrados and tensile strain measured at the intrados of segmented lining. The measured curvatures and deflections are nonlinearly changed with the increased of applied loads. From the strain measurements, tangential bending moments are calculated. A mirror curve of tangential bending moment shows in pin-pin support system while in pin-roller support, lining react in contrary. An unsymmetrically response of flexural bending moment has occurred in pin-roller with the highest bending moment is measured at the near mid span of lining to the side of roller support. These indicate lining with appropriate joints (i.e., pin-pin) could help reduce the maximum moment but excessive allowable joint movement (i.e., pin-roller) could lead to higher moment which could endanger the structure stability. In addition, this research aims to understand the appropriate characteristics of tunnel response especially related to ground load surrounding affect which are essential for long-term safety measurements. Further study is ongoing to take into account of joint interactions for both longitudinal and circumferential seam of lining.

## References

- [1] Koyama, Yukinori. "Present status and technology of shield tunneling method in Japan." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 18, no. 2-3 (2003): 145-159. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798\(03\)00040-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798(03)00040-3)
- [2] Blom, C. B. M., E. J. Van der Horst, and P. S. Jovanovic. "Three-dimensional structural analyses of the shield-driven "Green Heart" tunnel of the high-speed line south." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 14, no. 2 (1999): 217-224. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798\(99\)00035-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798(99)00035-8)
- [3] Sugimoto, Mitsutaka. "Causes of shield segment damages during construction." In *International Symposium on Underground Excavation and Tunnelling*, pp. 67-74. Bangkok, 2006.
- [4] Chen, J. S., and H. H. Mo. "Numerical study on crack problems in segments of shield tunnel using finite element method." *Tunnelling and underground space technology* 24, no. 1 (2009): 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2008.05.007>
- [5] Sagaseta, C. "Analysis of undrained soil deformation due to ground loss." *Geotechnique* 37, no. 3 (1987): 301-320. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1987.37.3.301>
- [6] Verruijt, A., and J. R. Booker. "Surface settlements due to deformation of a tunnel in an elastic half plane." *Geotechnique* 48, no. 5 (1998): 709-713. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1998.48.5.709>
- [7] Zhu, Jian-feng, Ri-qing Xu, and Gan-bin Liu. "Analytical prediction for tunnelling-induced ground movements in sands considering disturbance." *Tunnelling and underground space technology* 41 (2014): 165-175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2013.12.004>
- [8] Park, K. H. "Elastic solution for tunneling-induced ground movements in clays." *International Journal of Geomechanics* 4, no. 4 (2004): 310-318. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1532-3641\(2004\)4:4\(310\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1532-3641(2004)4:4(310))
- [9] Kim, Sang-Hwan. "Model testing and analysis of interactions between tunnels in clay." PhD diss., University of Oxford, 1996.
- [10] Liao, Shao-Ming, Fang-Le Peng, and Shui-Long Shen. "Analysis of shearing effect on tunnel induced by load transfer along longitudinal direction." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 23, no. 4 (2008): 421-430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2007.07.001>
- [11] Mohamad, Hisham, Kenichi Soga, Peter J. Bennett, Robert J. Mair, and Chi Sharn Lim. "Monitoring twin tunnel interaction using distributed optical fiber strain measurements." *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering* 138, no. 8 (2012): 957-967. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0000656](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000656)
- [12] Chen, J. S., and H. H. Mo. "Numerical study on crack problems in segments of shield tunnel using finite element method." *Tunnelling and underground space technology* 24, no. 1 (2009): 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2008.05.007>
- [13] Cavalaro, S. H. P., and A. Aguado. "Packer behavior under simple and coupled stresses." *Tunnelling and underground space technology* 28 (2012): 159-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2011.10.008>
- [14] Teachavorasinskun, Supot, and Tanan Chub-uppakarn. "Influence of segmental joints on tunnel lining." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 25, no. 4 (2010): 490-494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2010.02.003>
- [15] Arnau, Oriol, and Climent Molins. "Experimental and analytical study of the structural response of segmental tunnel linings based on an in situ loading test. Part 2: Numerical simulation." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 26, no. 6 (2011): 778-788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2011.04.005>
- [16] Wang, Li-zhong, Zhan Wang, Ling-ling Li, and Jin-chang Wang. "Construction behavior simulation of a hydraulic tunnel during standpipe lifting." *Tunnelling and underground space technology* 26, no. 6 (2011): 674-685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2011.05.009>
- [17] Molins, Climent, and Oriol Arnau. "Experimental and analytical study of the structural response of segmental tunnel linings based on an in situ loading test.: Part 1: Test configuration and execution." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 26, no. 6 (2011): 764-777. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2011.05.002>
- [18] Nishikawa, Kazuyoshi. "Development of a prestressed and precast concrete segmental lining." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 18, no. 2-3 (2003): 243-251. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798\(03\)00033-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-7798(03)00033-6)
- [19] Caratelli, Angelo, Alberto Meda, Zila Rinaldi, and Paolo Romualdi. "Structural behaviour of precast tunnel segments in fiber reinforced concrete." *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* 26, no. 2 (2011): 284-291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2010.10.003>