Application of Flexural Timber Reinforcement in Light Concrete Beam Structure
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Abstract. Timber is a capable alternative for reinforcement in concrete beam because it possesses high strength ratio compare to its weight although its strength is incompatible to steel. This study was conducted to highlight the flexural behaviour of beam reinforced with two types of timber; Balau and Meranti. Comparisons of behaviour have been made between samples applying the Reinforced Concrete Design to EC2. The result of flexural test shows that steel reinforcement beam (SRB) carried the utmost loads compared to timber sample beam which reinforced with Balau (BRB) and Meranti (MRB). Compared to the flexural strength of SRB, BRB reached about 69 \% of the value while MRB reached to 66 \% respectively. It was found that the failure mode of the timber beam was closely related to the load-deflection behaviour same as conventional steel beam. The larger the load-deflection value, the wider the range of cracking occurred.

Introduction

Structure assembly that constructed from timber have played an important role in construction industry for centuries especially in countries which have good resource of timber. Basically, timber is tough, strong and long lasting element. Timber has been used as a construction material in many ways. Usages for the entire structure are well known for houses and bridges. The usage of timber as a reinforcement material in concrete is rarely known. Its application as a reinforcement material in concrete structure had received very little attention.

Timber and concrete are inexpensive building material. Both are relatively easy to work on. As composite elements, timber can provides tensile strength for the concrete in a same way of steel reinforcement. Several researchers had conducted full scale testing of different means of achieving composite action between timber and concrete. Most studies dedicated on timber–concrete composite floors which focused on load-deflection capacity, mechanical properties, short term behaviour and also connections [1–4].

Near to this study, bamboo was one of the most commonly used study materials to substitute reinforcing steel bar in concrete [5,6]. Prior to the durability of organic materials, treatment and curing are essential consideration before application [6]. A comparison between steel and bamboo shows that there are benefits and ill effects for both materials [6,7] Even though composite mineral materials based on fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) has become outstanding replacement for conventional steel reinforcement [8], this study has the intention to add to the knowledge base of timber reinforced concrete with locally obtainable timber to produce concrete structural elements.
Methodology

In this study three samples of reinforced concrete beam were prepared. Two of them were reinforced with timber reinforcement and one will be the control beam which is reinforced with steel reinforcement. The grade of concrete that has been used for this study is 20 N/mm². Meanwhile, all the beam samples used the same size for its link reinforcement, which is 6 mm diameter (H6), steel of grade 250 N/mm². Each beam sample was identified and reinforced as SRB (steel reinforcement), BRB (Balau reinforcement) and MRB (Meranti reinforcement).

The dimension for all beam are 150 mm x 200 mm x 1500 mm. The lengths for all reinforcement bars were 1460 mm, which was shorter than the actual length of beam minus the thickness of concrete cover for both sides. In this study, the concrete covers for all samples were decided to be 20 mm. The diameter for steel reinforcement that has been used was 12 mm (H12), steel grade 500 N/mm².

For timber reinforcement, it came in square shape, not in round shape as the steel reinforcement because its availability in market is limited in round shape. The arrangements of the reinforcements are shown in Figure 1. However, the surface area value for both materials was totally different. The surface area for timber reinforcement was larger than steel reinforcement. The size of timber reinforcement is 35 mm x 35 mm. This is because to balance the overall strength between the steel and timber reinforcement bars as known steel is stronger than timber in tensile strength especially. However, the size of timber reinforcement is limited due to the small dimension of beam.

Figure 1    Dimension of control beam (left), sample beam (right)

Tensile Test. Before starting the whole process of constructing the concrete beam, the tensile test has been done for all samples including steel. After the sample preparation is done, the test was executed to get the ultimate tensile load for all samples. As mentioned before, each type of timber required three samples that went through the tensile test.

Beam Flexural Test. Flexural tests are extremely sensitive to specimen preparation, handling and curing procedure. Beams are very heavy and can be damaged when handled and transported to the testing equipment. In beam flexural test, two main measurement can be measured which are flexural strength, deflection.

In this study, the beam is assumed to be a simply supported beam. When load is applied at the middle span of beam, the deflection will happen. Transducer will measure the deflection of beam and the data was recorded by data logger.
Results and Discussions

**Tensile Test.** All the reinforcement that used in all beam samples was tested to determine their tensile strength including the steel reinforcement. The timber had to be cut into ‘I’ shape to make sure when the tensile test is run, the failure happens affectively at the centre of the sample. The area of both end of sample must be larger than at centre part because to make it grip well to the machine. Universal Material Tester (Model ID-F150) is used in this test.

![Graph tensile force for Meranti and Balau](image)

Figure 2  Graph tensile force for Meranti and Balau

Figure 2 above simply shows the value of ultimate tensile load for all timber samples where M represent for Meranti and B represent for Balau timber. Each timber was tested with three samples, where the results obtain varies. In other words, even the same type of timber indicated an unequal value of tensile load and showed the big difference between them. This inconsistency are the result well known to organic fibre that varies in many ways. As can be seen from the result, Balau reached the highest value of tensile load.

**Beam Flexural Test.** From the result of beam flexural test, the beam reinforced with timber can carry the load and behave normally with less flexural strength. Each BRB and MRB could carry maximum load of 50.92 kN and 48.89 kN respectively. Meanwhile, the maximum loading of SRB was the highest among the three concrete beams with the value of 73.18 kN. Speaks about the timber of Balau and Meranti, the result is expected this way. BRB is expected to carry the higher load compare to MRB because based on the result of tensile test; Balau timber has the higher tensile strength than Meranti.

![Load-deflection curve for all beams](image)

Figure 3  Load-deflection curve for all beams
During the beam flexural test, the load applied and the deflection of the beam is interconnected with each other. The bigger the load applied to the beam, the higher the deflection will occur as shown in Figure 3. For BRB, the maximum load applied is 50.92 kN and deflected about 17.84 mm, meanwhile for MRB, the maximum load is 48.89 kN and deflected about 6.91 mm. For control beam which is SRB, the maximum load is 73.18 kN and it deflected about 26.14 mm. Figure 3 presents the result of load and deflection behaviour for all samples.

From the experimental result, among the concrete beam that reinforced with timber, BRB produced the most cracks than MRB, due to its high ultimate load resistance of beam. SRB still shows the highest cracks range among all beam samples because its ultimate load resistance was the highest.

Summary

This study concluded that Balau timber showed the highest resistance in tension load compare to Meranti timber. The tensile load of timber is tested by using the tensile test and the value of tensile force for both type of timber is much different. Balau timber is classified as hardwood and be in group SG 1 in strength group of timber, which is the highest rank of timber classification. Meanwhile, Meranti timber is located in SG 6 and classified as light hardwood timber. This gives the new exposure in civil engineering field about the potential of Balau timber to be used as a structural material construction.

It was found by this study that timber have high potential as renewable reinforcement for concrete structure. This study found that beam reinforced with Balau and Meranti can achieve up to 70% in term of flexural strength compared to steel reinforcement bar with diameter of 12 mm. And it also can achieve up to 90% deflection even though with a lesser strength. The formation of cracks and mode of failure showed that Balau reinforcement bar was a better material for concrete beam, which supported high imposed load compare to Meranti timber.
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